WO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case 2:09-cr-00329-JAT Document 10 Filed 05/19/09 Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MAY 1 9 2009

COPY

C	ERK	<u> ISD</u>	STR	ICT	COUR	Γ
	DIST	RICT (OF A	VRIZ (AAC	
Y.					DEPU	Γ

v. Titus James Case			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
			Case Number: <u>CR 09-329-PHX-FJM</u>				
		ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts			
			is a danger to the commu	nity and require the detention of the defendant			
	reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending						
urar irr	1110 0000.		FINDINGS OF FACT				
(1)	There is	probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committe	ed			
		an offense for which a maximur 801 et seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U	n term of imprisonment of t .S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§			
		imprisonment of ten years or m	ore is prescribed.				
(2)	The def	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
(1)	the app	earance of the defendant as req	uired.				
(2)							
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) a prospective witness or juror).			o obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate			
(4)							
				OR DETENTION			
(1)			rmation submitted at the he	aring establish by clear and convincing evidence			
	by cle pendir by a p trial in (1) (2) (3) (4)	by clear and corpending trial in the by a prepondera trial in this case. (1) There is the app (2) The decondition (1) There is the app (2) No cone (3) There is a prosp (4) (1) I find the	Titus James Case cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant trial in this case. PART I (1) There is probable cause to believe that the an offense for which a maximum 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § § § Imprisonment of ten years or more an offense involving a minor vict (2) The defendant has not rebutted the proconditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required (2) No condition or combination of condition a prospective witness or juror). (4) PART II WRITTEN STA (Check one or both, as applicable.) 10	Titus James Case Case Number: Cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has stablished: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the communipending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of the 801 et seq., 951 et seq. or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq. an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b). an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal critism prisonment of the years or more is prescribed. an offense involving a minor victim prescribed in			

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-cr-00329-JAT Document 10 Filed 05/19/09 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.					
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					
	In addition:						
		Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the					
time of	The 0 f the he	Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Freihar Services Agency Which were remarked by saring in this matter.					
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION					
appea	ections I. The	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.					
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE					
Court	r a copy . Pursu e of a c t court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to y of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District lant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.					
Servic	ces suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and ne potential third party custodian.					
Date	e:	5-19-09 Janveror Concerson					
		LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON United States Magistrate Judge					